

) Case Number: 14-CV-03261-LHK  
)  
)  
) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT  
) STATEMENT & ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

VS.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,  
Defendant.

The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT & PROPOSED ORDER pursuant to the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California dated July 1, 2011 and Civil Local Rule 16-9.

Plaintiff seeks review of a decision by the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security disability benefits pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 405(g)

Plaintiff filed for Social Security disability benefits and has exhausted all administrative remedies within the Social Security Administration

Whether the decision by the Administrative Law Judge contained errors of law and/or was not supported by substantial evidence.

1      4. Motions

2      Plaintiff filed an application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis which was granted by Judge Lucy Koh November 18,  
 3      2014. Defendant intends to file and answer with a certified copy of the transcript of the administrative record within  
 4      90 days of receipt of service of summons and complaint. Plaintiff intends to file a motion for summary judgment or  
 5      for remand within 28 day of service of Defendant's answer. Defendant intends to file any opposition or counter  
 6      motion within 28 days of service of Plaintiff's motion. Plaintiff may file a reply within 14 days of Defendant's  
 7      opposition or counter-motion.

6      5. Amendment of Pleadings

7      None.

8      6. Evidence Preservation

9      Not applicable.

10      7. Disclosures

11      None

12      8. Discovery

13      None

14      9. Class Actions

15      Not Applicable

16      10. Related Cases

17      None

18      11. Relief

19      Plaintiff seeks summary judgement granting remand for further administrative proceedings or reversal for payment  
 20      of Social Security disability benefits

21      12. Settlement and ADR

22      Not applicable.

23      13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes

24      All parties consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment.

25      ☒ YES      ☐ NO

1  
2 14. Other References

3 None

4  
5 15. Narrowing of Issues

6 None.

7 16. Expedited Trial Procedure

8 Not Applicable

9  
10 17. Scheduling

11 Both parties stipulate to the timeline for filing various pleadings as provided in Civil Local Rule 16-5- Procedure in  
12 Actions for Review on an Administrative Record.

13 18. Trial

14 Upon conclusion of the briefing schedule, this matter will be submitted for decision without oral argument.

15 19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons

16 There are no Non-party Interested Entities or Persons.

17 20. Professional Conduct

18 All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern  
19 District of California.

20  
21 21. Other

22  
23 Dated: 2/18/2015



24 Terry LaPorte, Counsel for Plaintiff

25  
26 Dated: 2/18/2015

27 Ben Porter, authorized via email

28 Ben Porter, Counsel for Defendant

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The above JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT & PROPOSED ORDER is approved as the Case Management Order for this case and all parties shall comply with its provisions. [In addition, the Court makes the further orders stated below:]

The case management conference scheduled for February 25, 2015 is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 19, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

